# **BEEM:** Data-driven Building Energy bEnchMarking for Singapore

Pandarasamy Arjunan, Clayton Miller, and Prof. Kameshwar Poolla

## Building energy performance benchmarking

It is the practice of measuring and comparing the energy performance of a building with its peer groups. There are several benefits:

- Identifying energy saving opportunities
- Prioritizing retrofits and upgrades
- $\checkmark$ Increasing awareness

Energy performance benchmarking has been proven to reduce energy usage by up to 7% [1].

## **Background and Motivation**

Many factors affect the building energy consumption:

- Physical: geometry, orientation, facades, etc. 1.
- Operational: occupancy, schedule, electrical and 2. mechanical fixtures, etc.
- 3. External: meteorological variables

Building energy usage should be normalized for these differences to enable a fair benchmarking. Further, the benchmarking approach should be scalable to a large number of buildings, e.g., at city scale.

# Current approaches

Using Key Performance Indicators (KPI) of energy usage such as Energy Use Intensity (kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year):

- It is simple and easy to use
- But it overlooks other factors, such as occupancy, thus EUI is a unfair measure

Whole building energy simulation models:

- It can account for many influencing factors
- But it is very tedious in terms of time, effort and expertise required - limits scalability

Contemporary approaches such as Energy Star [2]:

Poor accuracy in modelling the variations in the energy usage (using linear models), resulting in inconsistent scores



Our approach (BEEM): Fair and scalable comparison between buildings by leveraging advanced machine learning methods!

# Our approach - BEEM

inBerBEST

#### 1. Data preparation

- BCA's energy disclosure dataset (1145 samples)
- · Define peer groups and apply data limitation filters

#### 2. Model development

· Fit nonlinear models between energy usage and building characteristics using XGBoost algorithm

3. Measure energy efficiency ratio

Actual energy • EER =Predicted energy

#### 4. Generate Grade Lookup Table (GLT)

· Apply univariate clustering algorithm to the sorted EER list · Map the cluster boundaries to the 5 point scale letter grades (A to E)



### Results

Grade distribution of office buildings

Model performance comparison

Building

type

Office

Hotel

Retail

Average

Improve-

Energy Efficiency Ratio	2.5	□A (23) □B (70)
	2	□C (130) □D (51)
	.5	□ E (16)
	0.5	
	U	Building index

(12.2%) (52.5%) ment XGB: Our proposed nonlinear model MLR: Multiple Linear Regression (the baseline) model that has been used in [2 & 3]

+10.4

R-squared

80.3 95.0

93.5 97.6

83.3 95.6

MLR XGB

85.7 96.1

NRMSE

MLR XGB

45.5

39.2

40.4

41.7 19.8

-21.9

21.8

23.4

14.4

An example visual explanation of a model prediction using SHAP values

3000	3100	Actual energy <b>3197.95</b>	3300	higher 7 Predicted 3420 3400	lower d energy 0.17 3500
		)			( ( ( (
spublic_Yes = 0.0	cupancy = 93	.0		LED = 50.0	HandirconFA = 1576.9

#### References:

- US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), U.S. EPA Portfolio Manager Data Trends 2012 Technical Brief, Technical Report, 2012.
- Energy Star Buildings & Plants https://www.energystar.gov/buildings
- Siew Eang Lee and Priyadarsini Rajagopalan. 2008. Building energy efficiency labelling programme in Singapore. Energy Policy 36, 10 (2008), 3982-3992

"This research project is funded by the National Research Foundation Singapore under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) programme."







